

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,838	05/16/2001	Hyung-Gon Noh	1568.1015	9186	
21171	7590 02/18/2004		EXAM	IINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			CHANEY, CAROL DIANE		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/18/200	DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/855,838	NOH, HYUNG-GON				
Advisory Addison	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carol Chaney	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application is a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	to the Control of the subjection in letter. It				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the ma	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the part (d), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: <u>se</u>	r reconsideration has been cons e <u>e below</u> .	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	at(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	· •					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9-18 and 20-32</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
TO.L. Outer.		Our/Chin				

Carol Chaney Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745 Applicants assert Miura et al. disclose a solid polymer electrolyte which is distinct from a polymer gel electrolyte of the instant invention. Applicants describe a gel electrolyte as typically comprising a polymer matrix, a solvent and an electrolytic salt. They further assert polymer solid electrolytes are typically a kind of polymeric thin film and may perform ion conduction rather than electron conduction, and are thus selected particularly to avoid battery leakage.

It is noted that Miura et al. disclose an electrolyte containing an optionally crosslinked polyether copolymer (a polymer matrix), a plasticize which is an aprotic organic solvent, and an electrolyte salt compound. (See column 1, line 47-column 2, line 17.) Thus, the electrolyte disclosed by Miura et al. has the same composition as the gel electrolyte of applicants' invention. The descriptors do not distinguish the inventions.